

Conservation laws and globality for the variation of local Noether strong currents

Marcella Palese

Department of Mathematics, University of Torino, Italy

Invariant variational problems

- we analyze the interplay of **inverse variational problems** with **invariance properties**
- we first review some **cohomological aspects** concerned with **local variational problems**, investigating **when local problems are equivalent to global ones**
- then study **Noether currents** associated with symmetries of global locally variational dynamical forms (**generalized symmetries**)

Geometric framework

- modern geometrical formulations of the calculus of variations on fibered manifolds include a large class of theories for which the Euler–Lagrange operator is a morphism of an exact sequence
- indeed, the module in degree $(n + 1)$, ‘contains’ dynamical forms
- dynamical forms which are only *locally variational*, i.e. which **are closed in the differential complex and define a non trivial cohomology class**, admit a system of local Lagrangians
- Lagrangians are invariant w.r.t. generalized symmetries only **up to a horizontal differential** (a divergence) (Noether–Bessel-Hagen theorem)

Geometric framework

- Noether theorems can be formulated by the *variational Lie derivative*
- *variations* can be recognized within this picture
- considering the *cohomology* defined by a system of local Lagrangians, investigate under which conditions the variational Lie derivative of associated local strong Noether currents is equivalent to a system of *global* and *conserved* currents
- in particular, variation vector fields -as generalized symmetries *belonging to the kernel of the second variational derivative* of the local problem- generate canonical Noether currents - associated with variations of local Lagrangians - which turn out to be conserved *along any section*
- we characterize *the variation of canonical Noether currents* associated with a local variational problem

Variational sequence

- the **variational sequence** defined on a fibered manifold $\pi : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, with $\dim \mathbf{X} = n$ and $\dim \mathbf{Y} = n + m$.
- for $r \geq 0$ the r -jet space $J^r \mathbf{Y}$ of jet prolongations of sections of the fibered manifold π
 - the natural fiberings $\pi_s^r : J^r \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow J^s \mathbf{Y}$, $r \geq s$, and $\pi^r : J^r \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$
 - among these the fiberings π_{r-1}^r are **affine bundles** which induce the natural fibered splitting

$$J^r \mathbf{Y} \times_{J^{r-1} \mathbf{Y}} T^* J^{r-1} \mathbf{Y} \simeq J^r \mathbf{Y} \times_{J^{r-1} \mathbf{Y}} (T^* \mathbf{X} \oplus V^* J^{r-1} \mathbf{Y})$$

- decomposition of the exterior differential on $\mathbf{Y}$ in the *horizontal* and *vertical differential*, $(\pi_r^{r+1})^* \circ d = d_H + d_V$
- $(j^r \Xi, \xi)$ jet prolongation of a *projectable vector field* (Ξ, ξ) on $\mathbf{Y}$, and $j^r \Xi_H$ and $j^r \Xi_V$ the horizontal and the vertical part of $j^r \Xi$, respectively.

Variational sequence

- let ρ be a q -form on $J^r \mathbf{Y}$:
natural decomposition of the pull-back by the affine projections of ρ :

$$(\pi_r^{r+1})^* \rho = \sum_{i=0}^q p_i \rho,$$

where $p_i \rho$ is the i -contact component of ρ

- starting from this splitting one can define
sheaves of contact forms Θ_r^* , suitably characterized by the kernel of conveniently chosen p_i
- the sheaves Θ_r^* form an exact subsequence of the de Rham sequence on $J^r \mathbf{Y}$ and one can define the quotient sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_Y \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{n-1}} \Lambda_r^n / \Theta_r^n \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_n} \Lambda_r^{n+1} / \Theta_r^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{n+1}} \Lambda_r^{n+2} / \Theta_r^{n+2} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{n+2}} \cdots \rightarrow 0$$

- the **r -th order variational sequence** over the fibered manifold $\mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is a soft sheaf resolution of the constant sheaf $\mathbf{R}_Y$ over $\mathbf{Y}$

Variational sequence

- the quotient sheaves (the sections of which are classes of forms modulo contact forms) in the variational sequence can be represented as sheaves $\mathcal{V}_r^k$ of k -forms on jet spaces of higher order:
- **currents** are sheaf sections ϵ of $\mathcal{V}_r^{n-1}$ and the quotient morphism $\mathcal{E}_{n-1} \simeq d_H$ is represented by a total divergence
- **Lagrangians** are sections λ of $\mathcal{V}_r^n$, while $\mathcal{E}_n$ is called the Euler-Lagrange morphism
- sections η of $\mathcal{V}_r^{n+1}$ are called **dynamical forms** or also **source forms**, while $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ is called the Helmholtz morphism

Variational cohomology

- denote by $H_{\text{VS}}^*(\mathbf{Y})$ the cohomology groups of the corresponding complex of global sections

$$0 \longrightarrow R_{\mathbf{Y}} \longrightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{n-1}} (\Lambda_r^n / \Theta_r^n)_{\mathbf{Y}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_n} (\Lambda_r^{n+1} / \Theta_r^{n+1})_{\mathbf{Y}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{n+1}} (\Lambda_r^{n+2} / \Theta_r^{n+2})_{\mathbf{Y}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{n+2}} \dots \xrightarrow{d} 0$$

- D. Krupka** (~ 1990) stated the following important Theorem:
 - the variational sequence is a soft sheaf resolution of the constant sheaf $R_{\mathbf{Y}}$ over $\mathbf{Y}$
 - hence the **cohomology** of the complex of global sections is naturally isomorphic to both the Čech cohomology of $\mathbf{Y}$ with coefficients in the constant sheaf $R_{\mathbf{Y}}$ and the de Rham cohomology $H_{\text{dR}}^k \mathbf{Y}$

Variational cohomology

- let now $\mathbf{K}_r^p \equiv \text{Ker } \mathcal{E}_p$
→ we have the short exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}_r^p \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{V}_r^p \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_p} \mathcal{E}_p(\mathcal{V}_r^p) \longrightarrow 0$$

- in particular $\mathcal{E}_n(\mathcal{V}_r^n)$ is the sheaf of **Euler–Lagrange forms**:
for a global section $\eta \in (\mathcal{V}_r^{n+1})_{\mathbf{Y}}$ we have
 $\eta \in (\mathcal{E}_n(\mathcal{V}_r^n))_{\mathbf{Y}}$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}(\eta) = 0$,
which are the Helmholtz conditions of **local variationality**

Variational cohomology

- the above gives rise to a well known diagram of cochain complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & C^0(Y, K_r^p) & \xrightarrow{i} & C^0(Y, \mathcal{V}_r^p) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_p} & C^0(Y, \mathcal{E}_p(\mathcal{V}_r^p)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \mathfrak{d} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{d} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{d} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & C^1(Y, K_r^p) & \xrightarrow{i} & C^1(Y, \mathcal{V}_r^p) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_p} & C^1(Y, \mathcal{E}_p(\mathcal{V}_r^p)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots
 \end{array}$$

whereby, indeed, we recognize the *connecting homomorphism* $\delta_p = i^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{d} \circ \mathcal{E}_p^{-1}$ as a mapping of cohomologies (here $\mathfrak{d}$ is the *coboundary operator*).

Variational cohomology

- the exact sequence above gives rise to the long exact sequence in Čech cohomology

$$0 \longrightarrow (K_r^p)_Y \longrightarrow (\mathcal{V}_r^p)_Y \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}_p(\mathcal{V}_r^p))_Y \xrightarrow{\delta_p} H^1(Y, K_r^p) \longrightarrow 0$$

- hence, every $\eta \in (\mathcal{E}_n(\mathcal{V}_r^n))_Y$ (i.e. locally variational) defines a cohomology class $\delta_n(\eta) \in H^1(Y, K_r^n) \simeq H_{VS}^{n+1}(Y) \simeq H_{dR}^{n+1}(Y)$
- furthermore, every $\mu \in (d_H(\mathcal{V}_r^{n-1}))_Y$ (i.e. variationally trivial) defines a cohomology class $\delta_{n-1}(\mu) \in H^1(Y, K_r^{n-1}) \simeq H_{VS}^n(Y) \simeq H_{dR}^n(Y)$

Global locally variational equations

- note that η is globally variational if and only if $\delta_n(\eta) = 0$.
- we are now interested to the nontrivial case where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{n+1}(\eta) &= 0 & \mathfrak{d}\eta &= 0 \\ \delta_n(\eta) &\neq 0 \implies \mathfrak{d}\lambda_i &\neq 0\end{aligned}$$

whereby $\eta = \mathcal{E}_n(\lambda)$ can be solved only locally,
i.e. for any countable good covering of $\mathbf{Y}$ there exists a local
Lagrangian λ_i over each subset $\mathbf{U}_i \subset \mathbf{Y}$ such that $\eta_i = \mathcal{E}_n(\lambda_i)$.

- a system of local sections λ_i of $(\mathcal{V}_r^n)_{U_i}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_n((\lambda_i - \lambda_j)|_{U_i \cap U_j}) = 0$, is what we call a *local variational problem*; every nontrivial cohomology class gives rise to local variational problems

Variational Lie derivative and Noether theorems

- formulate the **Noether Theorems** in terms of variational Lie derivatives of classes of forms modulo the contact structure
- the case $q \leq n - 1$

Theorem

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_r^q$, $0 \leq q \leq n - 1$, and let Ξ be a π -projectable vector field on $\mathbf{Y}$; the following holds locally

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}\alpha = \Xi_H \rfloor \mathcal{E}_q(\alpha) + \mathcal{E}_{q-1}(\Xi_V \rfloor \tilde{p}_{d_V\alpha} + \Xi_H \rfloor \alpha)$$

Here $\tilde{p}$ is a generalized momentum associated with an horizontal p -form

Variational Lie derivative and Noether theorems

- the case $q = n$ **Noether Theorem I**

Theorem

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_r^n$ and Ξ be a π -projectable vector field on $\mathbf{Y}$; the following holds (locally):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}\alpha = \Xi_V \rfloor \mathcal{E}_n(\alpha) + \mathcal{E}_{n-1}(\Xi_V \rfloor p_{d_V}\alpha + \Xi_H \rfloor \alpha)$$

Theorem

Let $q = n + k$, with $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_r^q$. Let Ξ be a π -projectable vector field on $\mathbf{Y}$; we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}\alpha = \Xi_V \rfloor \mathcal{E}_q(\alpha) + \mathcal{E}_{q-1}(\Xi_V \rfloor \alpha)$$

- the case $q = n + 1$ for locally variational dynamical forms encompasses **Noether Theorem II**, describing Noether–Bessel-Hagen symmetries

Local and global Noether–Bessel-Hagen currents

- let now η_λ be the Euler–Lagrange morphism of a local variational problem and let $j^r\Xi$ be a **generalized symmetry**
- the Noether Theorems imply that
$$0 = \Xi_V \rfloor \eta_\lambda + d_H(\epsilon_i - \beta_i),$$
where $\epsilon_i \equiv \epsilon(\lambda_i, \Xi) = j^r\Xi_V \rfloor p_{d_V\lambda_i} + \xi \rfloor \lambda_i$ is called **the canonical Noether current**
- under the assumption of a **nontrivial cohomology**, the Noether–Bessel-Hagen current $\epsilon_i - \beta_i$ is a *local* object and it is conserved along the solutions of Euler–Lagrange equations (*local conservation law*)
- note that, since $0 = \mathcal{L}_{j^r\Xi}\eta = \mathcal{E}_n(\Xi_V \rfloor \eta_\lambda)$, the horizontal n -form $\Xi_V \rfloor \eta_\lambda$ defines a cohomology class and we have that the local currents are the restrictions of a global conserved current if and only if the cohomology class $\delta_{n-1}(\Xi_V \rfloor \eta_\lambda) \in H_{dR}^n(\mathbf{Y})$ vanishes

Local variational problems equivalent to global ones

- now note that as a consequence of linearity and naturality properties, **the variational Lie derivative trivializes cohomology classes**
- in particular, we have $\eta_{\mathcal{L}\Xi\lambda_i} = \mathcal{E}_n(\Xi_V \rfloor \eta_\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_{\Xi}\eta_\lambda$
- this implies that $\delta_n(\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}\eta_\lambda) = \delta_n(\eta_{\mathcal{L}\Xi\lambda_i}) = 0$, although we assumed $\delta_n(\eta_\lambda) \neq 0$
- thus **Euler–Lagrange equations of the local problem defined by $\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}\lambda_i$ are equal to Euler–Lagrange equations of the global problem defined by the global object $\Xi_V \rfloor \eta_\lambda$**
- an analogous result holds true also at any degree $k \leq n$ in the variational sequence; specifically for local potentials of variationally trivial classes of horizontal forms

Global variationally trivial horizontal forms

- let μ be a **global variationally trivial Lagrangian**, this means that we have a 0-cocycle of currents ν_i such that $\mu = d_H \nu_i$
- assume that these are strictly locally defined objects:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_n(\mu) &= 0 & \mathfrak{d}\mu_\nu &= 0 \\ \delta_{n-1}(\mu_\nu) &\neq 0 \implies \mathfrak{d}\nu_i &\neq 0\end{aligned}$$

- consider the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\Xi\nu_i}$ and the corresponding $\mu_{\mathcal{L}_{\Xi\nu_i}}$
- we have $\mu_{\mathcal{L}_{\Xi\nu_i}} = d_H(\Xi_H \rfloor \mu_\nu + \Xi_V \rfloor p_{d_V \mu_\nu}) = \mathcal{L}_{\Xi} \mu_\nu$,
so that $\delta_{n-1}(\mathcal{L}_{\Xi} \mu_\nu) = 0$, although we assumed $\delta_{n-1}(\mu_\nu) \neq 0$
- the local problem defined by $\mathcal{L}_{\Xi\nu_i}$ is variationally equivalent to the global problem defined by the global object $\Xi_H \rfloor \mu_\nu + \Xi_V \rfloor p_{d_V \mu_\nu}$ (recall that $\mu_\nu = d_H \nu_i$ is assumed to satisfy $\mathfrak{d}\mu_\nu = 0$, i.e. it is a global object)

Global variationally trivial horizontal forms

Example

- *let Ξ be a generalized symmetry*
- *we have $\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}\eta_\lambda = 0$
then, in particular, $\delta_n(\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}\eta_\lambda) \equiv 0$*
- *furthermore, under the same assumption, we have $\mathcal{E}_n(\Xi_V \rfloor \eta_\lambda) = 0$
then, if $\delta_{n-1}(\Xi_V \rfloor \eta_\lambda) \neq 0$, there exists a 0-cocycle ν_i as above,
defined by $\mu_\nu = \Xi_V \rfloor \eta_\lambda \equiv d_H \nu_i$*
- *in this case, divergence expressions of the local problem defined by $\mathcal{L}_{\Xi}\nu_i$ coincide with divergence expressions for the global current*
$$\epsilon_{d_H \nu_i} = \Xi_H \rfloor \Xi_V \rfloor \eta_\lambda + \Xi_V \rfloor p_{d_V(\Xi_V \rfloor \eta_\lambda)}$$

Canonical strong Noether currents

- we have that $\mathcal{L}_{jr\Xi}\lambda_i = \Xi_V \rfloor \eta_{\lambda_i} + d_H \epsilon_{\lambda_i}$
- on the other hand $\mathcal{L}_{jr\Xi}\eta = 0 \implies \mathcal{E}_n(\Xi_V \rfloor \eta_{\lambda_i}) = 0$,
- we saw that if $\delta_{n-1}(\Xi_V \rfloor \eta) \neq 0$, then $\Xi_V \rfloor \eta = d_H \nu_i$, with $\mathfrak{d}\nu_i \neq 0$,
- therefore the Noether theorems I and II for a local system of Lagrangians read

$$\mathcal{L}_{jr\Xi}\lambda_i = d_H(\nu_i + \epsilon_i)$$

where $\nu_i + \epsilon_i$ is called a (local) **canonical strong Noether current** (note: if $\mathcal{L}_{jr\Xi}\lambda_i = 0$ would hold true -not our case!-, then we would have a local Noether strong conservation law $d_H(\nu_i + \epsilon_i) = 0$)

- **under the conditions $\delta_n(\eta) \neq 0$ and $\delta_{n-1}(\Xi_V \rfloor \eta_{\lambda_i}) \neq 0$ we necessarily have**

$$\mathfrak{d}(\nu_i + \epsilon_i) \neq 0$$

Some technical results

- case of a generalized symmetry: by the Noether Th. (II),
 $\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i =_{loc.} d_H \beta_i$
- suppose that $\mathfrak{d} \mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i = 0$
($\mathcal{E}_n(\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i) = \mathcal{E}_n(\Xi_V \rfloor \eta)$) implies that $\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i$ can be seen as the local restriction of a global object modulo a horizontal differential)
- since $\mathcal{E}_n(\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i) = 0$ then $\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i$ defines a cohomology class
- if $\delta_{n-1}(\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i) \neq 0$ then $\mathfrak{d} \beta_i \neq 0$
- notice that if $\mathfrak{d} \mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i = 0$ then $0 = \mathfrak{d} d_H \beta_i = d_H \mathfrak{d} \beta_i$, i.e. $\mathfrak{d} \beta_i$ is conserved
- β_i is a current variationally equivalent to the canonical strong Noether current $\nu_i + \epsilon_i$

Second variational derivative and globality of variation of strong currents

- $j^r \Xi$ is a generalized symmetry: $\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i$ is *closed*
- since the variational Lie derivative of closed classes trivializes cohomology classes,

$$\delta_{n-1}(\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i) = 0 \implies \mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i = d_H \psi_i$$

therefore

$$d_H \mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} (\nu_i + \epsilon_i) = d_H \psi_i$$

with $\mathfrak{d}\psi_i = 0$ (slight abuse of notation: here we mean that $\mathfrak{d}\psi_i = \mathfrak{d}d_H\zeta_i$, i.e. ψ_i can be added of a horizontal differential of a local object so that $\psi_i - d_H\zeta_i$ is the restriction of a global current $\bar{\psi}$)

Second variational derivative and globality of variation of strong currents

- thus, as a consequence of the **invariance of field equations**, the **variation of the strong Noether current** $\mathcal{L}_{jr}(\nu_i + \epsilon_i)$ is **variationally equivalent to a global current**
- we characterize more precisely this fact: under certain conditions a **global representative** is given by the **canonical Noether current** associated with the 'deformed' Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{jr} \equiv \lambda_i$

A (global) representative

Theorem

The variation of a local strong Noether current by a generalized symmetry is variationally equivalent to the canonical Noether current associated to the variation of the local Lagrangians by the same symmetry, i.e. we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{j\Xi}(\nu_i + \epsilon_i) \simeq \epsilon \mathcal{L}_{j\Xi} \lambda_i$$

indeed, let $\gamma_{\phi_i} =_{loc.} d_H \phi_i$ be a (global) locally variationally trivial Lagrangian

- we have

$$d_H \epsilon_{\gamma_{\phi_i}} = \mathcal{L}_{j\Xi} \gamma_{\phi_i} \doteq \gamma_{\mathcal{L}_{j\Xi} \phi_i} = d_H(\mathcal{L}_{j\Xi} \phi_i)$$

- by applying the above to $\gamma =_{loc.} d_H(\nu_i + \epsilon_i)$ we get the statement

Sufficient condition for the globality

Corollary

- if, in particular, the coboundary of $\phi_i \simeq \nu_i + \epsilon_i$ is locally exact ($\iff \partial \mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i = 0$), we have $0 = \delta_{n-1}(\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \gamma \phi_i) = \delta_{n-1}(\gamma \mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \phi_i)$
- i.e. $\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \phi_i$ is equivalent to a global current and in particular $\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \phi_i \simeq \epsilon_\gamma$, i.e. the (local) current $\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \phi_i$ is variationally equivalent to the *global* current ϵ_γ

Conservation laws from the vanishing of the second variational derivative

Theorem

- let Ξ be a *generalized symmetry of the Euler–Lagrange form* η_λ
- if the *second variational derivative* by the generalized symmetry of the local problems is *vanishing*, we have the *conservation law*
 $d_H \mathcal{L}_\Xi(\nu_i + \epsilon_i) = 0$

- this means that the current $\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i}$ is also conserved and the corresponding conservation laws reads $d_H(\epsilon_{\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \lambda_i}) = 0$
- this canonical Noether current is conserved along *any section*
- from the theorem above and the previous example, we get

Corollary: for the *variation of the canonical Noether current* ϵ_{λ_i} , we have the *strong conservation law*

$$\mathcal{L}_{j^r \Xi} \epsilon_{\lambda_i} \simeq \epsilon_{d_H \epsilon_{\lambda_i}}$$